

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,710	06/12	2/2001	James N. Bates	P04852US0	3776
22885	7590	01/24/2005		EXAM	INER
MCKEE, V 801 GRANI	OORHEES	MELLER, M	MELLER, MICHAEL V		
SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES MOIN	ES, IA 5030	9-2721	1654		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
→							
Office Action Summary	09/879,710	BATES ET AL.					
omec Acaem Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Michael V. Meller	1654					
Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet while t	ne correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent of the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	November 2004						
	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		s prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 2-8 and 10 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	pproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documer	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pr							
Attachment(s)	. ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) .					

Application/Control Number: 09/879,710

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of species of record is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2, 3, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meisner for the reasons of record and for the reasons which follow.

The teachings of Meisner are of record.

Applicant argues if the S-methylcysteine and S-methyl-L-cysteine are one and the same. It is clear from the attached Chemical Abstracts Registry file print out that they are indeed the same compound.

Applicant also argues that the reference does not recite "diagnosing hypotension in a patient" or "observing the effect of the S-alkylthiol on the hypotension", but claim 10 only requires that the patient be administered the S-alkylthiol. Thus, the reference teaches the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1654

Applicant again argues that the limitation, "consisting essentially of" limits the claims but the fact of the matter is that applicant has not shown if the other ingredients in the reference allegedly change the fundamental characterisitics of the invention.

MPEP 2111.03 states:

For the purposes of searching for and applying prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103, absent a clear indication in the specification or claims of what the basic and novel characteristics actually are, "consisting essentially of" will be construed as equivalent to "comprising." See, e.g., PPG, 156 F.3d at 1355, 48 USPQ2d at 1355 ("PPG could have defined the scope of the phrase consisting essentially of' for purposes of its patent by making clear in its specification what it regarded as constituting a material change in the basic and novel characteristics of the invention."). See also > AK Steel Corp. v. Sollac, 344 F.3d 1234, 1240-41, 68 USPQ2d 1280, 1283-84 (Fed. Cir. 2003) (Applicant's statement in the specification that "silicon contents in the coating metal should not exceed about 0.5% by weight" along with a discussion of the deleterious effects of silicon provided basis to conclude that silicon in excess of 0.5% by weight would materially alter the basic and novel properties of the invention. Thus, "consisting essentially of" as recited in the preamble was interpreted to permit no more than 0.5% by weight of silicon in the aluminum coating).

Thus, the claims can still be interpreted as reading, "comprising".

Claims 2-8, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meisner taken with Joullie et al. and Chemical Abstracts Registry file print.

The teachings of Meisner are of record.

Joullie teaches that S-methyl cysteine is well known to be injected into an animal for therapeutic purposes.

Thus it would have been well within the purview of the skilled artisan to inject the S-methylcysteine composition of Meisner into a patient since as taught by Joullie it is well known to inject S-methyl cysteine for therapeutic purposes.

It is clear from the attached Chemical Abstracts Registry file print out that S-methylcysteine and S-methyl-L-cysteine are indeed the same compound.

Applicant argues the same as above saying that the references do not recite "diagnosing hypotension in a patient" or "observing the effect of the S-alkylthiol on the hypotension", but claim 10 only requires that the patient be administered the S-alkylthiol. Thus, the reference teaches the claimed invention.

See above arguments concerning, "consisting essentially of ". Those comments are re-iterated here.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1654

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654